

Development of DRAFT language for unidentified/unnamed constructed tributaries

Issues/Questions:

A district (e.g. San Luis Canal Company) may have hundreds of identified water bodies. Do we want to NAME all of the water bodies (and their associated water body categories/MUN beneficial use designations) in the Basin Plan?

What about water bodies that are added/changed after the initial evaluation, like a new ditch that is dug off of a main channel?

Do we want to consider a specific length for water bodies that need to be named (1 mile, ½ mile, ¼ mile)?

Objective:

Develop “tributary” language to provide beneficial use designations for unidentified or unnamed Ag dominated constructed water bodies.

Example:

The beneficial uses of any specifically identified C1 water body will apply to its unidentified tributary C1 water bodies that are less than 1 mile (1/2 mile? ¼ mile?) in length. For unidentified tributary water bodies that are not in the C1 category or have a length that is 1 mile or greater, an evaluation will need to be conducted on a case-by-case basis. This same method can be applied to specifically identified C2 water bodies and their unidentified tributary C2 water bodies.